arXiv:1704.01825v1 [math.FA] 6 Apr 2017

ON THE MEAN SUMMABILITY OF SERIES BY NONLINEAR FOURIER BASIS

HATICE ASLAN AND ALI GUVEN

Abstract. The nonlinear signal processing has achieved a rapid process in the recent years. A family of nonlinear Fourier bases, as a typical family of mono-component signals, has been constructed and applied to signal processing. In this paper, the approximation properties of the partial sums and Cesàro summability of series by the nonlinear Fourier basis are investigated in the $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Furthermore, these results are applied to the prove of Bernstein's inequalities for nonlinear trigonometric polynomials.

1. Introduction

Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Let f is a periodic function on $\mathbb{T}$, then we denote $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ the set of Lebesgue measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$)) such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mid f(x) \mid^p dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

where the integral is a Lebesgue integral, and we identify functions that differ on a a set of measure zero. We define L^p-norm of f by

$$\| f \|_p = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mid f(x) \mid^p dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

For $p = \infty$ the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ consists of the Lebesgue measurable functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$) that are essentially bounded on $\mathbb{T}$, meaning that f is bounded on a subset of $\mathbb{T}$ whose complement has measure zero. The norm on is essential supremum

$$\| f \|_\infty = \inf\{M \mid \quad \mid f(x) \mid \leq M \quad a.e. \quad in \quad \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Note that $\| f \|_p$ may take the value ∞.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A25, 41A10, 41E30.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear Fourier basis, Partial sum, Cesàro mean, Bernstein inequality .

The another important concept is the modulus of smoothness is defined by

$$\omega\left(f;t\right)_p := \sup_{0\leq h\leq t} \parallel f(.+h)-f(.) \parallel_p$$

If $p = \infty$, then it is called modulus of contiunity. And this nondecreasing continuous function on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ having properties:

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad \omega(t_1 + t_2) \leq \omega(t_1) + \omega(t_2).$$

A family of nonlinear Fourier bases as the extension of the classical Fourier basis, have been constructed and applied to signal processing [1, 2, 7, 9, 8]. For any complex number $a = |a|\, e^{it_a}, |a| < 1$, the nonlinear phase function $\theta_a(t)$ is defined by the radical boundary value of the Möbuis transformation

$$\tau_a = \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$$

that is,

$$e^{i\theta_a(t)} := \tau_a(e^{it}) = \frac{e^{it}-a}{1-\overline{a}e^{it}}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\theta_a(t+2\pi) = \theta_a(t) + 2\pi \tag{1.1}$$

and its derivative is the Poisson kernel

$$\theta_a'(t) = p_a(t) = \frac{1-|a|^2}{1-2\,|a|\cos(t-t_a)+|a|^2}$$

which satisfies

$$0 < \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|} \leq \theta_a'(t) \leq \frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \tag{1.2}$$

Hence, $\theta_a(t)$ is a strictly monotonic increasing function, which makes $cos\theta_a(t)$ be a special mono-component signal [7, 8]. It has been shown that for any sequence $\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of finite nonzero terms, there holds

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid c_k \mid^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid c_k e^{ik\theta_a(x)} \mid^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid c_k e^{ik\theta_a(t)} \mid^2 p_a(t)dt$$

which combining with (1.2) implies that the so-called nonlinear Fourier basis $\left\{e^{in\theta_a(t)}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ forms a Riesz basis for $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with the upper bound $\sqrt{\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}}$ and the lower bound $\sqrt{\frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}}$. When $a = 0$, $\left\{e^{in\theta_a(t)}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is simply the Fourier basis $\{e^{int}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let τ_n^a be the space of all the nonlinear trigonometric polynomials of degree less than or equal to n, that is,

$$\tau_n^a := span\left\{e^{ik\theta_a(t)} :\mid k \mid \leq n\right\}.$$

The approximation error of $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$,

$$E_n^a(f)_p = \inf_{T\in\tau_n^a} \parallel f - T \parallel_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Let us recall some known lemmas (see [5]) which will be used in the sequel of paper.

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. We have*

$$E_n^a(f)_\infty \leq \frac{24}{1-\mid a \mid}\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_\infty.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. We have*

$$\frac{1-\mid a \mid}{2}\omega(f,t)_\infty \leq \omega(f\circ\theta_a^{-1}, t)_\infty \leq \frac{2}{1-\mid a \mid}\omega(f,t)_\infty.$$

In the present paper first we deal with some properties of nonlinear Fourier series. Then we discuss n-th partial sums and Cesàro sum of nonlinear Fourier series. Also we prove the necessary and sufficient condition for nonlinear Fourier series which governs the $(C,1)$ summability in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for arbitrary function f from $L^p(\mathbb{T})$. This result is applied to the prove of Bernstein's inequality for nonlinear trigonometric polynomials.

2. Convergence of Nonlinear Fourier Series

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Then $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ by nonlinear basis denoted by

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\theta_a(x)}$$

be its series by nonlinear Fourier basis where

$$c_k = c_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)e^{-ik\theta_a(t)}p_a(t)dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For simplicity throughtout the present paper we write nonlinear Fourier series as series by nonlinear Fourier basis. Now we can begin to give some properties of nonlinear Fourier series:

Assume $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ik\theta_a(x)}, \quad g(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k e^{ik\theta_a(x)}.$$

For linearity and convolution we have the following properties respectively.

$$(Af + Bg)(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (Ac_k + Bd_k)e^{ik\theta_a(x)}$$

$$(f * g)(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+ \mid a \mid}{1- \mid a \mid}\right)(c_k d_k)e^{ik\theta_a(x)}$$

Furthermore remember Sobolev space i.e.

$$W_r^p(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ f \in X^p(\mathbb{T}) : f, ..., f^{(r-1)} \in \mathcal{AC}(\mathbb{T}), f^{(r)} \in X^p(\mathbb{T}) \right\}.$$

Assume that $f \in W_1^p(\mathbb{T})$. It can be easily seen that property i.e.

$$f'(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+ \mid a \mid}{1- \mid a \mid}\right)(ikc_k)e^{ik\theta_a(x)}$$

holds.

We wish to examine the convergence of nonlinear Fourier series. To discuss the convergence, pointwise or uniform, of nonlinear Fourier series, we need to discuss the convergence of the sequence $\{S_n^a\}$ of partial sums. We have

$$S_n^a(f)(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} c_k e^{ik\theta_a(x)}$$

where

$$c_k = c_k(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)e^{-ik\theta_a(t)}p_a(t)dt.$$

Proposition 1. *Let $S_n^a(f)$ be the sequence of partial sums of the nonlinear Fourier series of f. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ which is 2π-periodic. Then*

$$S_n^a(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)D_n(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(t))p_a(t)dt. \tag{2.1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x) + t)D_n(t)dt, \tag{2.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{\pi} \left\{F(\theta_a(x) - t) + F(\theta_a(x) + t)\right\} D_n(t)dt. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. By the expression for the c_k and considering the equality $S_n^a(f)(x) = S_n(F)(\theta_a(x))$ where $F = f \circ \theta_a^{-1}$ we have already established (2.1). By a change of variable $u - \theta_a(x) = y$ and the (1.1) equality of $\theta_a(x)$ phase function's 2π-periodicity, it follows that the integral does

not change as long as the length of the interval of integration is 2π we get

$$S_n^a(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+y)D_n(y)dy.$$

This proves (2.2). Finally, we split the integral in (2.2) as the sum of integrals over $[-\pi, 0]$ and $[0, \pi]$. Now

$$\int_{-\pi}^{0} F(\theta_a(x)+t)D_n(t)dt = \int_{0}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+t)D_n(t)dt,$$

using the change of variable $y = -t$ and the evenness of D_n. This proves (2.3). □

We can begin with properties of the operators S_n^a of partial sums of nonlinear Fourier series. For this first we need following lemmas.

Lemma 3. *Let $F := f \circ \theta_a^{-1}$. Then we have*

$$\| F \|_p \leq \begin{cases} \| f \|_p, & p = 1, \infty, f \in C(\mathbb{T}) \quad if \quad p = \infty; \\ \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}\right)^{1/p} \| f \|_p & 0 < p < \infty; \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ ve $F := f \circ \theta_a^{-1}$. Therefore for $p = 1, \infty$ we have

$$\| f \|_\infty := sup_{x\in\mathbb{T}} \mid f(x) \mid = sup_{\theta_a(x)\in\mathbb{T}} \mid F(\theta_a(x)) \mid = \|F\|_\infty.$$

And for $0 < p < \infty$ the following inequality holds.

$$\| f \|_p := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mid f(t) \mid^p dt\right)^{1/p}.$$

By change of variable $\theta_a(t) = u$ and using phase function's property which is giving in (1.2), we have the following equality.

$$\| f \|_p \geq \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}\right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mid F(u) \mid^p du\right)^{1/p} = \left(\frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}\right)^{1/p} \|F\|_p.$$

Therefore we have result that we wanted. □

For the spaces $L^1(\mathbb{T})$, $C(\mathbb{T})$, one can evaluate the norms $\| S_n^a \|$ by direct computation.

Theorem 1. *One has*

$$\| S_n^a(f) \|_\infty \leq \Lambda_n \| f \|_\infty .$$

Proof. Let consider S_n^a be an operator $C(\mathbb{T})$ to $C(\mathbb{T})$. By using Lemma 2.1 and equality (2.2), we see that each of the norms (2.2) is equal to

$$\mid S_n^a(f)(x) \mid = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+t) D_n(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid F(\theta_a(x)+t) \mid\mid D_n(t) \mid dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \parallel F \parallel_\infty \mid D_n(t) \mid dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \parallel f \parallel_\infty \mid D_n(t) \mid dt$$

From the Lebesgue constant's definition (see in [4])

$$\parallel S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty \leq \Lambda_n \parallel f \parallel_\infty$$

holds. □

Theorem 2. *For all $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$,*

$$\parallel f - S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty \leq c \log n E_n^a(f)$$

holds.

Proof. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. Consider linear operator $S_n^a : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$ The best approximation by $t \in \tau_n^a$ is

$$E_n^a(f) = \parallel f - t \parallel_\infty .$$

Thus we can write the following equality.

$$\parallel f - S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty = \parallel f - t + t - S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty = \parallel f - t + S_n^a(f)(t-f) \parallel_\infty$$

$$\leq \parallel f - t \parallel_\infty + \parallel S_n^a(f)(t-f) \parallel_\infty = E_n^a(f) + \parallel S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty \parallel f - t \parallel_\infty$$

holds. Therefore from Theorem 2.1

$$\parallel f - S_n^a(f) \parallel_\infty \leq (1 + \Lambda_n) E_n^a(f)$$

holds. Hence by using theorem for Lebesgue constant (see in [4]) we have

$$\parallel S_n^a(f) - f \parallel_\infty \leq c \log n E_n^a(f).$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3. *Let consider $S_n^a : L^p(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T})$ linear operator sequence, where $n = 0, 1, ...$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then*

$$\parallel S_n^a(f) \parallel_p \leq \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \right)^{2/p} \Lambda_n \parallel f \parallel_p$$

holds.

Proof. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Consider operator $S_n^a : L^p(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$ Thus if we consider the inequality (2.2)

$$\| S_n^a(f) \|_p = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | S_n^a(f)(x) |^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x) - t) D_n(t) dt \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

holds. Hence by using Minkowski integration inequality (see in [10]), we have

$$\| S_n^a(f) \|_p \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | F(\theta_a(x) + t) |^p \, p_a(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times | D_n(t) | \left(\frac{1}{p_a(x)} \right)^{1/p} dt$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | F(\theta_a(x) + t) |^p \, p_a(x) dx)^{\frac{1}{p}} | D_n(t) | \, dt$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|} \right)^{1/p} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \| F \|_p | D_n(t) | \, dt.$$

Therefore considering Lemma 2.2 and Dirichlet kernel's definition (see in [3]) is giving result that we wanted. □

Now let examine the convergence of partial sum of the nonlinear Fourier series in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ space.

Theorem 4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$*

$$\| f - S_n^a(f) \|_p \to 0, \quad n \to \infty \tag{2.4}$$

holds if and only if, there exist a $M > 0$ constant that only depend on p such that

$$\| S_n^a(f) \|_p \leq M_p^a \| f \|_p \, . \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. For necessity let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $\| f - S_n^a(f) \|_p \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. Thus $\{S_n^a(f)\}$ is bounded since it converges in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ norm space for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore there exists $M_f > 0$ for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$. Such that $\| S_n^a(f) \|_p < M_f$. Thus considering uniform bounded principle (e.g. [6]) we have

$$\sup \{ \| S_n^a \| : n = 0, 1, 2, ... \} < \infty.$$

If we consider Theorem 2.3 and choose

$$M = \sup \{ \| S_n^a \| : n = 0, 1, 2, ... \} < \infty$$

for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, then we can write for (2.5) inequality.

For sufficiency let $\| S_n^a(f) \|_p \leq M_p^a \| f \|_p$. For

$$E_n^a(f)_p = \| f - t_n^a \|_p$$

where $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore we can prove (2.4) with the help of the nonlinear polynomials t_n^a of best approximation of the function:

$$\| f - S_n^a(f) \|_p = \| f - t_n^a + t_n^a + S_n^a(f)(x) \|_p$$
$$\leq \| f - t_n^a \|_p + \| S_n^a(f - t_n^a) \|_p \leq (M_p^a + 1) E_n^a(f)_p.$$

Since

$$E_n^a(f)_p \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$

this completes the proof. □

Corollary 1. *The norms of the operators $S_n^a(f)$ are bounded in each space $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$.*

From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we now derive

$$\| S_n^a(f) - f \|_p \leq \begin{cases} C \log n E_n^a(f)_p, & p = 1, \infty, f \in C(\mathbb{T}), if p = \infty; \\ C_p \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \right)^{2/p} E_n^a(f)_p, & 1 < p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

We see that the partial sums S_n^a approximate almost as well as its polynomial of best approximation. This is true even for $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, if the factor $\log n$ is not essential for the problem considered.

For the partial sums S_n^a of the Fourier series of f we do not have $S_n^a(f) \to f$ in $C(\mathbb{T})$ for each f. But we do have fast convergence of S_n^a for smooth functions f. Actually, the convergence $\| S_n^a(f) - f \|_\infty \to 0$ can be arbitrarily fast for some f (that are not trigonometric polynomials): The following theorems shows that it is sufficient to take $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [a_k cos(k\theta_a(x)) + b_k sin(k\theta_a(x))]$, where a_k, b_k converge to zero sufficiently fast without being zero.

Theorem 5. *For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n^a(f) = \lim_{n \to -\infty} c_n^a(f) = 0$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. In this case there exists a $t \in \tau_n^a$ such that

$$\| f - t \| < \varepsilon 2\pi.$$

In this inequality let t has degree N. Therefore we can write

$$t(x) = \sum_{m=-N}^{m=N} d_m e^{im\theta_a(x)}$$

Thus for $\mid k \mid > N$,

$$c_k^a(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-ik\theta_a(x)}dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-ik\theta_a(x)}dx - \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t(x)e^{-ik\theta_a(x)}dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x)-t(x)]e^{-ik\theta_a(x)}dx$$

holds. Hence we obtain the result from following inequality.

$$\mid c_k^a(f) \mid \leq \parallel f(x) - t(x) \parallel_1 < \varepsilon.$$

□

Corollary 2. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Then for all f,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^a(f) = \lim_{n\to-\infty} b_n^a(f) = 0$$

holds.

Proof. By writing $a_n^a(f) = c_n^a(f) + c_{-n}^a(f)$ ve $b_n^a(f) = \frac{c_n^a(f)+c_{-n}^a(f)}{i}$ in Theorem 2.5, we can complete the proof. □

Theorem 6. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. If*

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x)}{t} \right| dt < \infty$$

then for $n \to \infty$, $S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x)$ holds.

Proof. Let assume $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. By using (2.3) equality, we can write

$$S_n^a(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \{F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x)\} D_n(t)dt.$$

Therefore considering Dirichlet kernel's property (see in [10]), we obtain the following.

$$S_n^a(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\}$$

$$\times \sin\left(\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)t\right) dt$$

Now let think the $\phi_x^a(t)$ as following.

$$\phi_x^a(t) = F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x).$$

In this case the last equality written as

$$S_n^a(f)(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \left\{ \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \sin\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right) t \right\} dt.$$

From hypothesis $\phi_x^a(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Hence

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{t} \right| dt < \infty$$

holds and by using Jordan inequality (see [10]), we obtain

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right| dt < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^a(f)(x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \left\{ \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} \sin\left(\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) t\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \left\{ \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} \sin(nt)\cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \left\{ \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} \cos(nt)\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here if we say that

$$g_x^a(t) = \left\{ \frac{\phi_x^a(t)}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right\} \cos\left(\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

We find that $g_x^a(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. In this case, considering the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} b_n\left(g_x^a(t)\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^\pi g_x^a(t)\sin(nt)dt \\ a_n\left(\phi_x^a(t)\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^\pi \phi_x^a(t)\cos(nt)dt \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$S_n^a(f) - f(x) = \frac{1}{4}\left(b_n\left(g_x^a(t)\right)\right) + a_n\left(\phi_x^a(t)\right)$$

holds. Thus by considering Corollary 2.2 and Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (see in [10]) for $\mid n \mid \to \infty$, we have

$$b_n\left(g_x^a(t)\right) \to 0 \quad and \quad a_n\left(\phi_x^a(t)\right) \to 0.$$

So we obtain $S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. If $\mid F(x) - F(y) \mid \leq M \mid x - y \mid^{\alpha}$, then we have*

$$S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x), \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let assume that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x)}{t}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\mid F(\theta_a(x)+t) - f(x) \mid + \mid F(\theta_a(x)-t) - f(x) \mid}{t} \\ &\leq \frac{2Mt^{\alpha}}{t} = 2Mt^{\alpha-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus if we consider $\int_0^{\pi} t^{\alpha-1}dt = \frac{\pi^{\alpha}}{\alpha}$ equality, we have the following by using comparison test.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) + F(\theta_a(x)-t) - 2f(x)}{t}\right| dt < \infty$$

Therefore from Theorem 2.6, we obtain $S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x), \quad n \to \infty.$ □

Corollary 4. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. If f is differentable and $F := f \circ \theta_a^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$, then we have*

$$S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x), \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$. Hence

$$F'(\theta_a(x)) = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) - F(\theta_a(x))}{t}$$

holds. For $\exists \quad \delta > 0 \ni 0 <\mid t \mid< \delta$

$$\left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t) - F(\theta_a(x))}{t} - F'(\theta_a(x))\right| < 1$$

holds. Thus we have

$$\frac{|F(\theta_a(x)+t)-F(\theta_a(x))|}{|\ t\ |} <| \ F'(\theta_a(x))\ | \ .$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|\ F(\theta_a(x)+t)-F(\theta_a(x))\ |}{|\ t\ |} < \frac{|\ F(\theta_a(x)+t)-F(\theta_a(x))\ |}{|\ \delta\ |}$$

holds for $|\ t\ |> \delta$. Hence we have the following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\pi \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)+F(\theta_a(x)-t)-2f(x)}{t}\right| dt \\
&= \int_{0<t<\delta} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)+F(\theta_a(x)-t)-2f(x)}{t}\right| dt \\
&+ \int_{\delta\le t\le\pi} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)+F(\theta_a(x)-t)-2f(x)}{t}\right| dt \\
\le &\int_{0<t<\delta} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)-f(x)}{t}\right| dt + \int_{0<t<\delta} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)-t)-f(x)}{t}\right| dt \\
&+ \int_{\delta\le t\le\pi} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)+F(\theta_a(x)-t)-2f(x)}{t}\right| dt \\
&\le \int_{0<t<\delta} |\ t\ |\ F'(\theta_a(x))dt + \int_{0<t<\delta} |\ t\ |\ F'(\theta_a(x))dt \\
&+ \int_{\delta\le t\le\pi} \left|\frac{F(\theta_a(x)+t)+F(\theta_a(x)-t)-2f(x)}{\delta}\right| dt
\end{aligned}$$

So by considering Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$S_n^a(f)(x) \to f(x), \quad n\to\infty.$$

□

3. Boundness and Cesàro Mean Summability for Nonlinear Fourier Series

Precisely, we prove the necessary and sufficient condition for nonlinear Fourier series which governs the $(C,1)$ summability in L^p for arbitrary function f from L^p. This result is applied to the prove of Bernsteins inequality for nonlinear trigonometric polynomials. Let $f\in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $S_n^a(f)$ is partial sum of nonlinear Fourier series. Define n-th Fejér (Cesàro) for nonlinear Fourier series defined by

$$\sigma_n^a(f)(x) := \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n} S_k^a(f)(x).$$

Now let find new expressions for Cesàro mean which are very useful.

Proposition 2. *Let $\sigma_n^a(f)$ be the sequence of partial sums of the nonlinear Fourier series of f. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ which is 2π-periodic. Then*

$$\sigma_n^a(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)K_n(\theta_a(x)-\theta_a(t))p_a(t)dt, \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+t)K_n(t)dt, \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{\pi} \left\{F(\theta_a(x)-t)+F(\theta_a(x)+t)\right\}K_n(t)dt. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By the expression for the c_k, phase function $\theta_a(x)$ and considering $\sigma_n^a(f)(x) := \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma_k^a(f)(x)$, we have already established (3.1). By a change of variable $y = \theta_a(t) - \theta_a(x)$ and the (1.1) equality of $\theta_a(x)$'s 2π-periodicity, it follows that the integral does not change as long as the length of the interval of integration is 2π we get

$$\sigma_n^a(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+y)D_n(y)dy.$$

This proves (3.2). Finally, we split the integral in (3.2) as the sum of integrals over $[-\pi, 0]$ and $[0, \pi]$. Now

$$\int_{-\pi}^{0} F(\theta_a(x)+t)K_n(t)dt = \int_{0}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)+t)D_n(t)dt,$$

using the change of variable $y = -t$ and the evenness of K_n. This proves (3.3). □

Theorem 7. *Let $\sigma_n^a : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ for $n = 0, 1, ...$ be linear operator sequence. Then we have*

$$\| \sigma_n^a(f) \|_\infty \leq \| f \|_\infty$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. Let consider $\sigma_n^a : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ as a linear operator for $n = 0, 1, ...$ Thus by using (3.2) inequality and Fejér kernel's positivity (see in [3]), we have

$$| \sigma_n^a(f)(x) | = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta_a(x)-t)K_n(t)dt \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | F(\theta_a(x)-t) || K_n(t) | dt,$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \| F \|_\infty K_n(t)dt.$$

If we consider Lemma 2.1 for last equality, we obtain that

$$| \sigma_n^a(f)(x) | = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \| f \|_\infty K_n(t)dt.$$

Finally by using Fejér kernel's property (see in [10]) we obtain the result.

$$\| \sigma_n^a(f) \|_\infty \leq \| f \|_\infty$$

□

Theorem 8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$. Then*

$$\| f - \sigma_n^a(f) \|_\infty \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_n^a : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$ Then we have

$$|f(x) - \sigma_n^a(f)(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [f(x) - F(\theta_a(x) + t)]K_n(t)dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|t|<\delta} | f(x) - F(\theta_a(x) + t) | K_n(t)dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\delta\leq|t|\leq\pi} | f(x) - F(\theta_a(x) + t) | K_n(t)dt$$

by (3.2) equality. Therefore since $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and θ_a continuous, we can write

$$|f(x) - \sigma_n^a(f)(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|t|<\delta} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} K_n(t)dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\delta\leq|t|\leq\pi} | f(x) - F(\theta_a(x) + t) | K_n(t)dt$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi}\int_{|t|<\delta} K_n(t)dt + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\delta\leq|t|\leq\pi} | f(x) | + | F(\theta_a(x) + t) | K_n(t)dt$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\delta\leq|t|\leq\pi} [\| f \|_\infty + \| F \|_\infty]K_n(t)dt.$$

Now if we use Lemma 2.1 and Fejér kernel's property (see in [10]), we have

$$| f(x) - \sigma_n^a(f)(x) | \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} 2 \| f \|_\infty \int_{\delta\leq|t|\leq\pi} K_n(t)dt$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \parallel f \parallel_\infty \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} dt$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\parallel f \parallel_\infty}{\pi(n+1)sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} dt$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{2 \parallel f \parallel_\infty}{\pi(n+1)sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

Since there exists $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}: \quad n \geq N$

$$\frac{2 \parallel f \parallel_\infty}{\pi(n+1)sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{2 \parallel f \parallel_\infty}{\pi(n+1)sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\mid f(x) - \sigma_n^a(f)(x) \mid < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

holds for $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq N$ ve $\forall x \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus $\sigma_n^a(f) \to f$ uniformly as $n \to \infty$ □

Theorem 9. *Let consider $\sigma_n^a : L^p(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $n = 0, 1, ...$ linear operator sequence. Then*

$$\parallel \sigma_n^a(f) \parallel_p \leq \left(\frac{1 + |a|}{1 - |a|} \right)^{2/p} \parallel f \parallel_p$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. Let consider $\sigma_n^a : L^p(\mathbb{T}) \to L^p(\mathbb{T})$,$1 \leq p < \infty$ and $n = 0, 1, ...$ linear operator sequence. Then we have

$$\parallel \sigma_n^a(f) \parallel_p = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid \sigma_n^a(f)(x) \mid^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Hence by (3.2) equality, we have

$$\parallel \sigma_n^a(f) \parallel_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid F(\theta_a(x) - t) K_n(t) dt \mid^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now by using Minkowski integral inequality (see in [10]),

$$\parallel \sigma_n^a(f) \parallel_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid F(\theta_a(x) - t) \mid^p dx \right)^{1/p} K_n(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \parallel F \parallel_p K_n(t) dt$$

holds. Therefore we obtain the following result by using Lemma 2.1.

$$\| \sigma_n^a(f) \|_p \leq \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \right)^{2/p} \| f \|_p$$

□

Theorem 10. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then we have*

$$\| f - \sigma_n^a(f) \|_p \to 0, \quad n \to \infty$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_n^a : L_p(\mathbb{T}) \to L_p(\mathbb{T})$, $n = 0, 1, ...$ linear operator and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$. Take $\varepsilon > 0$.Then there exists $\exists \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\| f - g \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$. Then for $n \to \infty$, we have $\sigma_n^a(g) \to g$ converges uniformly by Theorem 3.2. Thus by using the Lusin Theorem (see in [3]), there exists $\exists \quad n \geq N$ such that $\| \sigma_n^a(g) - g \|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

$$\| f - \sigma_n^a(f) \|_p = \| f - g + g - \sigma_n^a(g) + \sigma_n^a(g) - \sigma_n^a(f) \|_p$$

$$\leq \| f - g \|_p + \| g - \sigma_n^a(g) \|_p + \| \sigma_n^a(f - g) \|_p$$

Therefore by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\| f - \sigma_n^a(f) \|_p \leq \| f - g \|_p + \| g - \sigma_n^a(g) \|_p + \left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \right)^{1/p} \| f - g \|_p$$

$$\leq \left(\left(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|} \right)^{2/p} + 1 \right) \| f - g \|_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

4. Bernstein's inequality for Nonlinear Fourier Series

Applying the inequalities for the Cesàro means of nonlinear trigonometric series derived in the previous section, we can prove the nonlinear version of the well-known Bernstein's inequality. For any trigonometric polynomial $t_n(x)$ of order $\leq n$, for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | t_n'(x) |^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq cn \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} | t_n(x) |^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{4.1}$$

The last inequality is known as integral Bernstein's inequality. The following extension of (4.1) is true.

Theorem 11. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and assume that $t_a \in \tau_n^a$. Then the inequality*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid t'_{n,a}(x) \mid^p dx \leq C \left(\frac{1+ \mid a \mid}{1- \mid a \mid} \right)^{2(1+1/p)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid t_{n,a}(x) \mid^p dx \tag{4.2}$$

holds.

Proof. It is well known from the (2.1) equality that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid t_{n,a}(u) \mid^p D_n(\theta_a(u) - \theta_a(x)) p_a(u) du$$

where

$$D_n(u) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos ku$$

is the Dirichlet's kernel of order n. Let $T = t_{n,a} \circ \theta_a^{-1}$. By the derivation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
t'_{n,a}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid t_{n,a}(u) \mid^p D'_n(\theta_a(u) - \theta_a(x))(-p_a(x)) p_a(u) du \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(u)) D'_n(\theta_a(u) - \theta_a(x)) p_a(u) du \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(y + \theta_a(x)) D'_n(y) dy \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \sin ky \right) dy \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (k \sin ky) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (k \sin(2n-k)y) \right) dy \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) 2n \sin ny \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \cos ky \right) \right) dy \\
&= p_a(x) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) 2n \sin ny K_{n-1}(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

where K_{n-1} is the Fejér's kernel of order $n-1$. By taking the absolute values, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mid T'_{n,a} \mid &\leq \left(\frac{1+ \mid a \mid}{1- \mid a \mid} \right) \mid 2n \sin ny \mid \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) K_{n-1}(y) dy \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1+ \mid a \mid}{1- \mid a \mid} \right) 2n \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T_{n,a}(\theta_a(x) + y) K_{n-1}(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right) 2n\sigma_{n-1}^{a}(t_{n,a},x).$$

If we use Theorem 3.3, we get that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid t_a'(x) \mid^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right) 2n\sigma_{n-1}^{a}(t_{n,a},x) \mid^p dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right) 2n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mid \sigma_{n-1}^{a}(t_{n,a},x) \mid^p dx\right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right) 2n\sigma_{n-1}^{a}(t_{n,a},x) \parallel_p$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right) 2n \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right)^{2/p} \parallel t_{n,a} \parallel_p$$

$$\leq 2n \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right)^{2+1/p} \parallel t_{n,a} \parallel_p$$

From this we obtain

$$\parallel t_{n,a}' \parallel_p \leq cn \left(\frac{1+\mid a \mid}{1-\mid a \mid}\right)^{2+1/p} \parallel t_a \parallel_p$$

and this completes the proof. □

References

[1] Chen Q. H.,Li L. Q., Qian T., Stability of frames generated by nonlinear fourier atoms, Int J Wavelets Multiresolut Inf Process, 3 (2005) 465-476.

[2] Chen Q. H. and Li L. Q.,Qian T., Two families of unit analytic signals with nonlinear phase, Phys D, 221 (2006) 1-12.

[3] DeVore, R. A., Lorentz G. G.,Constructive Approximation, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[4] Giuseppe M., Gradimir V. M., Interpolation Process Basic Theory and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[5] Huang, C., Yang, L H., Approximation by the nonlinear Fourier basis, Sci China Math, 54 (2011) 1207-1214.

[6] Reed M., Simon B., Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[7] Qian T., Analytic signals and harmonic measures,Math Anal Appl,314 (2006)526-536.

[8] Qian T., Analytic unit quadrature signals with nonlinear phase, Phys D,(303)(2005)80-87.

[9] Qian T., Chen Q H. , Characterization of analytic phase signals, Comp. Math Appl., 51(2006) 1471-1482.

[10] Zygmund A., Trigonometric series, 2nd ed.,Cambridge Univ. Press.,New York, 1959.

Department of Mathematics, Firat University, Faculty of Science, 23119, Elazig, Turkey
E-mail address: haticeaslan2017@gmail.com (Corresponding author)

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Art and Science Balikesir University 10145, Balikesir Turkey
E-mail address: aliguven@gmail.com